

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/528,167	03/17/2000		Shiri Kadambi	P108339-09007 3009		
32294	7590	01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. HOANG, T					THAI D	
14TH FLOOR 8000 TOWERS CRESCENT				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TYSONS CORNER, VA 22182				2667		

DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/528,167	KADAMBI ET AL.						
	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Thai D Hoang	2667						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]								
a) The period for reply expires <u>03</u> months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:								
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);								
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	,,						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	S.					
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).								
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.								
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ind an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed: <u>2-4,6 and 8-11</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5 and 7</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:								
•								

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument on page 4 of the remarks "Carvey does not disclose or suggest determining the tlow rate of the frames, determining if the tlow rate exceeds a predetermined flow rate threshold, and determining if the frames are candidates for link switching." Examiner respectfully disagrees. The reference is clearly teaches above limitations at figs. 2-3, abstract, col. 2, line 36-col. 3, line 9, and col. 6, lines 59-65. An amendment for claim 5 is expected as discussed with Applicants' representative by telephone on 01/06/2005.

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNICI OGY CERITED OC